SNO-STR-92-050

Salination and Desalination of D10 in the SNO Detector

N.W. Tanner, Oxford

1. Introduction

It is proposed to alternate the operation of the detector with and without NaCl in solution at the level of 0.25%, with a periodicity of some months. During the processes of salination and desalination, and more generally and importantly during any period of inhomogeneity of the D₂O saline solution, it may be difficult to interpret the data recorded, and for this reason it is desirable to minimize the change-over times.

The main purpose of this note is to assemble the numerical values of the various parameters which enter the problem and the few formulae of fluid dynamics which can be applied. The numbers relate to H₂O as it is difficult to find a complete set for D₂O and unlikely that D₂O will be very different, apart from the numerical value of the density. The formulae have been taken from Landau and Lifshitz, Fluid Mechanics, Pergamon 1959, Third Impression 1966, pp6 to 9 and 212 to 215, apart from a minor modification for an inhomogeneous body of fluid, and the ASC report 90–1022–1. Numerical values are given in Appendix I, the formulae in Appendix II and estimates for SNO in Appendix III.

Properties of Water

The Appendices reveal a number of simplifying characteristics:

- a) Heat transfer must involve convection, conduction is far too slow.
- b) Diffusion is negligible on the time scales of interest.
- c) The compressibility can be largely ignored.
- d) Any negative temperature gradient is expected to induce turbulent convection, and therefore mixing.
- e) Concentration gradients are likely to be as important as temperature gradients for driving convection.
- The acrylic vessel is better approximated as a thermal insulator on a time scale
 of a few days, a conductor on a time scale of months.
- g) The electrical conductivity of salt solution suggests itself as a basis for monitoring the concentration and uniformity.

3. Steady State Operation

The predicted heat input to the 7000 tons of H_2O plus 1000 tons of D_2O is 10KW from the rock walls and 2KW from the photomultiplier bases, which will be balanced by the heat removed by the separate cooling systems on the H_2O and D_2O recirculation cystems, respectively 150 and 100 l per minute. If the recirculation flows were to enter the vessel at 1°C below the equilibrium temperature then the H_2O cooler would remove 10KW and the D_2O cooler 7KW. The heat transfer within the bulk of the water is expected to be turbulent convective. In these circumstances most of the temperature drop between the heat sources and sinks can be expected to occur in the boundary layers with only a small, (but $> 10^{-4}$ °C/m from the local stability conditions) temperature gradient within the main body of water. This gradient is most unlikely to be less than 10^{-4} °C per m in the pure H_2O , the minimum necessary to satisfy the local condition

for convection (Appendix II), and 10⁻⁴°C per m should be more than adequate to drive turbulent convection in the D₂O.

4. Salination of D2O

It is assumed that the NaCl will be stored as 50 m³ of 5% brine and can be introduced at the flow rate of the recirculation system, 100 l per minute, in about 8 hours. If the brine, at higher density and lower temperature than the D₂O in the acrylic vessel, enters the top of the vessel then turbulent convective mixing can be expected.

It is argued in Appendix III that the fluid dynamics within the D_2O will be effectively isolated by the acrylic vessel from the fluid dynamics of the H_2O . The convective time scale for a $\Delta T = 1^{\circ}C$ is estimated (see ASC report) as some minutes, but as the time varies only as $1/\sqrt{\Delta T}$ the actual value of ΔT is uncritical.

It appears that the D₂O can be brought up from zero to 0.25% NaCl concentration by introducing 50 m³ of 5% NaCl concentration at -1°C over 8 hours, and extracting 50 m³ with a mean concentration of 0.125%. Throughout the 8 hours the content of the acrylic vessel is expected to have a uniform concentration varying linearly with time.

5. Desalination of D₂O

It is proposed to remove the 0.25% salt in the D_2O by reverse osmosis, ideally rejecting $50~{\rm m}^3$ of the total of $1000~{\rm m}^3$ as a 5% concentration of salt. Seven days at $100~{\rm l/min}$ are required to process $1000~{\rm m}^3$ and if no mixing occurs between the residue of the 0.25% residue in the acrylic vessel and the purified D_2O returned to the vessel then decalination will take just 7 days. If mixing does occur with a time constant <<7 days then desalination will be exponential with a 7 day time constant.

The most favourable circumstance for mechanical stability, i.e. no mixing, is a positive temperature gradient and a negative concentration gradient, which could be achieved by pumping out the salt solution from the bottom of the acrylic vessel and returning the purified D_2O to the top of the vessel at a temperature a little higher, say $\pm 1^{\circ}C$, than the temperature of the contents of the acrylic vessel. This is equivalent to a power input of 7KW for a flow of 100 l/min.

The estimates of Appendix III are very encouraging in the sense that it is most unlikely that convection (and therefore temperature gradient) and a negative concentration gradient are established, independent of the (small) temperature gradients which will occur in the H₂O and are insulated from the D₂O by the acrylic vessel.

6. Conclusions

Recirculation of the D_2O , caline or pure, for steady state operation might best be carried out by alternating the temperature of the return flow to the top of the acrylic vessel between \pm a few tenths of a "C relative to the content of the vessel with a periodicity of the odd day to ensure convective mixing (time constant of minutes) and therefore homogeneity, while maintaining a steady mean temperature (time constant of 7 days). Prior to the change, saline to or from pure, it would be appropriate to set up the required temperature gradient by returning the D_2O at $\pm 1^{\circ}C$ for some days.

The salination can be expected to take ~ 8 hours with the content of the acrylic vessel remaining homogeneous throughout, the return being maintained at -1° C for the 8 hours.

Desclination will require 7 days with the return at $\pm 1^{\circ}$ C, plus a further 7 days at $\pm 1^{\circ}$ C to return the temperature to the desired value and ensure homogeneity through convective mixing. During the first 7 days the salt concentration of salt will be spatially inhomogeneous but possibly well defined. Presumably homogeneity will be fully restored in a fraction of a day by the $\pm 1^{\circ}$ C return.

A caveat must be entered with respect to turbulent convective mixing given the cautious approach of the ASC report despite the huge magnitude of the Grashof number. Landau and Lifshitz are less cautious, but doubt remains. If the heat transfer is indeed via laminar convection it is likely that a flow pattern of hexagonal cells will be formed, flow up the centre and down the sides of each hexagon. The time constant for mixing may then be determined by diffusion over a length with the magnitude of the dimension of a hexagon, which according to Landau and Lifshitz is a difficult theoretical problem. The mixing time constant could be long as the scale magnitude for diffusion of NaCl is an inch in a week, the distance varying as the square root of the time.

It will be necessary to monitor the concentration and homogeneity of the salt at least to the extent of sensors in the input and output pipework. Electrical conductivity looks the obvious technique and is simple enough that a sensing head might be mounted with a calibration source.

One final reservation should be mentioned concerning the local stability condition set up in Appendix II for inhomogeneous brine. The thermodynamics is incomplete to the extent that the chemical potential was ignored, but it is thought unlikely that this will change the qualitative features.

Appendix I

Numerical Values of Parameters

The numbers below all refer to H_2O , not D_2O , as it is difficult to find a complete set for the latter and it is unlikely that there could be an important difference between the fluid dynamics of H_2O and D_2O .

- 1. Density of NaCl solution is linear in % concentration c (g of NaCl per 100g of II_2O). $\frac{1}{\rho}\frac{d\rho}{dc} = 7.16 \times 10^{-3}$ per % at 20°C.
- 2. Diffusion of Nacl in H_zO , $D=1.0\times 10^{-5}~{\rm m}^2 {\rm s}^{-1}$ at 10°C and 0.05 g mole per litre and is insensitive to concentration. $\frac{1}{D}\frac{dD}{dT}=0.025~{\rm K}^{-1}$. Characteristic time for a distance of 6m is 10 years. Characteristic length for a time of 1 week is 24mm.
- 3. Volume thermal expansion. $\beta = 210 \times 10^{-6} \text{K}^{-1}$ at 10°C .
- Thermal conductivity of H₂(), K = 0.561Wm⁻¹ K⁻¹. A power transfer of 10KW distributed over a circular area of 22m diameter (26.3W per m²) requires a gradient of 46.0 K per m.
- 5. Electrical conductivity σ of NaCl solution of concentration c % (see 1.) is nearly linear in c at low c, $\frac{d\sigma}{dc} = 1.14$ ohm⁻¹ m⁻¹ per %. Temperature dependence $\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dT} = 0.025 \text{K}^{-1}$ at c = 2%.
- 6. Viscosity $\eta = 1.307$ mPa.s at 10° C. $\frac{1}{\nu} \frac{d\nu}{dT} = -0.029$ K⁻¹ at 10° C.
- 7. Compressibility. Bulk modulus $K=2.05 \mathrm{GPa}$. For a pressure increase of $1.2 \times 10^5 \mathrm{Pa}$ the fractional increase in density is 0.585×10^{-4} , an increase which would also be achieved by a temperature reduction of $0.28 \mathrm{K}$ or a NaCl concentration of 0.008 %.
- 8. Specific heat. Cp = 4.18 J/g/K
 Water recirculated at 100 l/min and cooled 1°C below the reservoir temperature corresponds to a power transfer of 6.7KW. The power associated with the kinetic energy of this water discharged through a pipe of 0.01m² cross-sectional area is 2.3 x 10 °2W. If the recirculation cooler extracts 6.7KW, i.e. reduces the temperature 1°C, it will take a week to cool 1000 tons by 1°C, assuming the acrylic vessel is thermally isolated.
- 9. Thermal Conductivity of Acrylic K = 0.17 to 0.25 Wm⁻¹K⁻¹. The acrylic vessel with a thickness of 5cm and surface area of 452m² will transfer 4Wm⁻² and a total of 1.8KW for a 1°C temperature difference. The time constant for reducing the temperature difference by acrylic conduction is therefore 4 weeks.

8:59

Appendix II

Convection

Landau and Lifshitz give a number of relations concerning the mechanical stability of a fluid under gravity and the oncet of laminar and turbulent convection. In particular they point out that if the temperature distribution is a function of the coordinates other than the vertical coordinate then mechanical equilibrium in the fluid is not possible, i.e. the fluid will exhibit macroscopic motion. (pp8 and 213).

For a fluid of uniform composition convection will occur for a temperature gradient,

$$\frac{dT}{dz} < -gT\beta/Cp = -1.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Km}^{-1} \text{ for water}$$

where g is the acceleration of gravity. In the case of a concentration c (of salt) which varies with Z it is necessary to modify the equations of Landau and Lifshitz (Section 4, pp 8,9). A fluid element of specific volume V(p,s,c) at height Z displaced (without mixing or heat conduction) to a height Z+dZ, where the pressure is p', entropy S', and concentration C', will have a specific volume V(p',s,c), neglecting the small influence of p'-p on c, and displace an element of fluid of specific volume V(p',s',c'). The condition for convection is

$$V(p',s',c')-V(p',s,c)<0$$

i.e. $\frac{dV}{dZ} = \frac{\alpha V}{\alpha s} \frac{ds}{dZ} + \frac{\delta V}{\delta c} \frac{dc}{dz} < 0$ which gives, ignoring any influence of the chemical potential,

$$\frac{dT}{dZ} < -\frac{Tg\beta}{Cp} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\delta \rho}{\delta c} \frac{dc}{dZ}$$
$$= -1.4 \times 10^{-6} + 34.1 \frac{dc}{dZ}$$

for water, where c is in % (g. of NaCl per 100g of H2O. The concentration gradient clearly dominates the stability:

a) For a mean $\bar{c}=0.25\%$ and c varying linearly over the 12m height, convection will occur for

$$\frac{dT}{dZ}$$
 < ±1.42 °C/m,

± depending on the sign of the concentration gradient.

b) For c varying linearly from 0 to 0.25% over the one week diffusion length of 24mm convection will occur in the diffusion region for

$$\frac{dT}{dZ}$$
 < ±355°C/m.

Landau and Lifshitz also provide conditions for the onset of convection in a fluid bounded by planes at Z=0 and Z=l with a temperature difference ΔT , in terms of the Prandtl, Grashof, and Rayleigh numbers P, G and Ra respectively.

$$P = \nu/\chi = 9.74$$
 for H_2O
 $G = \beta \text{ gl}^3 \Delta T/\nu^2$ and $Ra = GP$,
where $\chi = K/\rho Cp$ is the thermometric conductivity
 $V = \eta/p$ is the kinematic viscosity

Steady convection must occur for GP > 1710, or 1100 for a free upper surface at T_1 , and turbulent convection sets in $G \ge 5 \times 10^4$. For water and l = 10m

$$G = 1.2 \times 10^{13} \Delta T/l$$

and a temperature gradient $< -4 \times 10^{-9}$ °C/m is sufficient to induce turbulence. The conditions for the onset of convection apply for an incompressible fluid, but that is a good approximation for water.

Convection in SNO

TO: 901016135456813

Zwart and Van Doormaal (ASC Report 90-1022-1, Appendix B, Dec. 1990), estimate the scale magnitudes for the H2O as follows:

| Heat transfer | 10 + 2KW | (given) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Mass transfer | 2.5 Kg s^{-1} | (given) |
| Length scale | 27m | (given) |
| Temperature difference | $\Delta T = 1^{\circ}C$ | , |
| Grashof number | $G = 10^{13}$ | |
| Rayleigh number | $Ra = 10^{14}$ | |
| Characteristic time | $\Delta t = 7 \min$ | |
| Characteristic velocity | v = 6mm/s | |

The convective time scale is given in the ASC report as

and the characteristic velocity as $v = l/\Delta t$.

Turbulent convection in the H_2O is very probable (see however Section 6 of ASC report) and the ASC calculations indicate a temperature gradient in the H₂O adjacent to the acrylic vessel of a few milli-degrees c per metre. Both positive and negative gradients are obtained in the various calculations although it is pointed out that a negative gradient, which would tend to drive convection in the D2O, is inherently unstable. If the gradient is negative, convection could occur transporting a few watts (limited by the thermal impedance of the acrylic) with a convective time scale of order of an hour, assuming of course that the recirculated D₂O does not set up a temperature gradient. The Grashof number is large, ~ 1010, so presumably the convection would be turbulent.

If the recirculated D₂O were returned to the top of the acrylic vessel at -1°C relative to the bulk of the D₂O, turbulent convection would be expected with a time scale of minutes, similar to the H2O. A positive concentration gradient of salt, resulting from returning the -I°C D₂Q with a relatively high concentration of salt, can only enhance the convective mixing.

The converse situation in which (pure) D₂O is returned to the top of the acrylic vessel at +1°C relative to the bulk, has a positive temperature gradient and convection does not occur. This is a familiar situation in the laboratory and for that matter in any domestic hot water storage tank. If there is a negative concentration gradient that will also act against convection and should suppress convection even in the case of a negative temperature gradient of moderate magnitude.

It seems most unlikely that the II2O temperature gradient of a few milli-degrees per in acting via the considerable thermal impedance of the acrylic vessel can invalidate the conclusions drawn for D2O temperature gradients of ~ 100 milli-degrees/m, or equivalent concentration gradients, set up by recirculation.